

Supplementary information to: Ice nuclei in marine air : biogenic particles or dust?

Susannah Burrows^{1,2}, Corinna Hoose³, Ulrich Pöschl¹ and Mark G. Lawrence^{1,4}

¹ Max-Planck-Institute for Chemistry, Mainz, Germany.

² Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington, USA.

³ Institute for Meteorology and Climate Research - Atmospheric Aerosol Research,
Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Karlsruhe, Germany.

⁴ Institute for Advanced Sustainability Studies e.V., Potsdam, Germany.

Zonal mean of emissions

Using the distribution of chlorophyll rather than POC to drive the emissions results in a closer match to the zonal mean distribution. Among the possible explanations are that ice nuclei concentrations in water might be more closely associated with phytoplankton than with organic debris, or that the distribution of ice nuclei might be latitudinally dependent.

Interestingly, the peak in the B73 data appears to be more closely matched in shape by the simulated emissions than by the simulated concentrations S-1, and the magnitude of the peak can be approximated by multiplying with a residence time of 2.3 days and dividing by a boundary layer height of 600 m, and an enrichment factor of 200, all other factors as in Table 1 of the main paper.

We can only speculate about whether this apparent better correspondence is spurious or real. We do note that a better correspondence with the emissions would be expected if the IN decayed close to their source in a way that deactivated them as IN, perhaps due to photochemical processing upon exposure to the atmosphere. For example, it has been proposed that a large part of the marine organic aerosol is made up of exopolymer secretions (EPS) of marine microorganisms. EPS compounds are known to form gels that may disintegrate upon exposure to ultraviolet light or acidification [*Leck and Bigg, 2005*].




Figure S-1: Longitudinal dependence of marine IN number densities from simulated emissions and longitudinally averaged number densities over the Southern Ocean [Bigg, 1973]. Number densities from emissions are derived assuming a residence time of 2.3 days, a boundary layer height of 600 m, and all relevant scaling factors are as listed in Table 1 of the main paper, except an enrichment factor of 200 is used (rather than 500). Simulations using ocean climatologies of POC and chlorophyll from MODIS-Aqua (July 2002 – June 2010, [Esaias *et al.*, 2002]). Northern hemisphere summer and winter averages are shown. Seasonal averages from Bigg [1973] are normalized such that the mean concentrations for the summer, winter and annual mean zonal distributions are equal. (a): Comparison to AEROCOM zonal mean MBL aerosol mass densities and ship particulate emissions, in analogy to Figure 4 of main paper. (b): Comparison of emissions driven by MODIS-Aqua POC and CHL, in analogy to Figure 7 of main paper, and Figure S-2.

Comparison of simulations with alternative ocean satellite data




Figure S-2: Zonal mean simulated marine IN number densities vs. zonal mean observed number densities over the Southern Ocean [Bigg, 1973]. (a): Simulations using ocean POC seasonal climatologies from MODIS-Aqua (July 2002 – June 2010, [Esaias *et al.*, 2002]) and SeaWiFS [Hooker and McClain, 2000; Stramski *et al.*, 2008]. Northern hemisphere summer and winter averages are shown. Seasonal averages from Bigg [1973] are normalized such that the mean concentrations for the summer, winter and annual mean zonal distributions are equal. (b): Simulations using ocean chlorophyll seasonal climatologies from MODIS-Aqua (July 2002 – June 2010), and a blended climatology (labelled “blend”) constructed from Coastal Zone Color Scanner (CZCS) satellite data and *in situ* data (1978 – 1986 [Gregg and Conkright, 2001]).

References

- Bigg, E. K., Ice nucleus concentrations in remote areas, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, *30*, 1153–1157, 1973.
- Esaias, W. E., et al., An overview of MODIS capabilities for ocean science observations, *IEEE T. Geosci. Remote*, *36*, 1250–1265, 2002.
- Gregg, W. W., and M. E. Conkright, Global seasonal climatologies of ocean chlorophyll: blending in situ and satellite data for the CZCS era, *J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans*, *106*, 2499–2515, 2001.
- Hooker, S. B., and C. R. McClain, The calibration and validation of SeaWiFS data, *Prog. Oceanogr.*, *45*, 427–465, 2000.
- Leck, C., and E. K. Bigg, Biogenic particles in the surface microlayer and overlaying atmosphere in the central Arctic Ocean during summer, *Tellus B*, *57*, 305–316, 2005.
- Stramski, D., et al., Relationships between the surface concentration of particulate organic carbon and optical properties in the eastern South Pacific and eastern Atlantic Oceans, *Biogeosciences*, *5*, 171–201, 2008.